

GUIDANCE NOTE 16: CORRECTION OF TAKEOVER DOCUMENTS – (SUPERSEDED)

Overview

This Guidance Note is designed to provide takeovers market participants with important guidance on circumstances that are unacceptable in relation to correcting deficiencies in takeovers documents.

The Panel prefers that information be provided in fewer, more comprehensive (and comprehensible) documents, it is also preferable for a document to be amended before it is distributed rather than to have a complex collage of similar, but differing, messages before shareholders.

The Panel considers that it is consistent with the policy of the Corporations Act that parties agree the form and substance of additional information to be supplied and is prepared to accept undertakings, agree to withdrawal from proceedings and make orders to facilitate the lawful carrying out of commercial settlements and to facilitate parties reaching and implementing commercial arrangements.

Where a Critic (a party, typically the bidder, target or a counter-bidder, who takes issue with the document) has a list of identified information deficiencies in a takeover document the Panel prefers the Critic to give that list promptly and directly to the person responsible for the relevant document rather than communicate indirectly through the press or apply to the Panel immediately.

Targets and other Critics should bear in mind who is in the best position to provide relevant information. If the best information is likely to be in the hands of the target, it is unreasonable for the target to require the bidder to attempt to provide that information from sub-standard sources.

In making orders the Panel will seek among other things to:

- deal with deficiencies the Panel considers that it is inappropriate to present only a corrected version of information without pointing out deficiencies found by the Panel;
- get the bid back on track, which may mean taking all parties back to the point where the bid went off track; and
- remove any advantage obtained by any party.

Introduction

- 16.1 The Takeovers Panel (**Panel**) seeks to ensure that its approach to disclosure issues¹ means that the quality of disclosure in takeover documents is at least as high as was required by the Courts before March 2000, and does not diverge significantly from that of disclosure documents under Chapter 6D of the Corporations Act 2001 (the **Corporations Act**)².
- 16.2 This Guidance Note informs market participants and their advisers concerning the remedies that the Panel may use to correct deficiencies in takeover documents which it regards as causing "unacceptable circumstances".
- As a concrete example, this Guidance Note uses a defective bidder's statement. However, the principles discussed concerning that example apply equally to target's statements, other documents issued under the Corporations Act for the purposes of a bid, releases to Australian Stock Exchange Limited (ASX), media releases and letters to shareholders relating to a bid and to the documents required for other control transactions (for example, notices and explanatory statements for the purposes of meetings to approve an acquisition under section 611 item 7).
- The Panel encourages parties to try to resolve disagreements concerning the completeness and accuracy of information provided to target shareholders and the market promptly and, where possible, without recourse to the Panel's procedures. This Guidance Note also indicates the approach of the Panel where one party has adopted commercially effective and appropriate measures to deal with alleged deficiencies in its documents and the criticising party nonetheless considers that there remain deficiencies constituting "unacceptable circumstances".

Background Principles

- 16.5 The completeness and accuracy of relevant information is a fundamental principle in Australian takeover regulation. It is reflected throughout Chapter 6. It finds expression, for example, in the principles:
 - of an "efficient, competitive and *informed* market" (paragraph 602(a));
 and
 - that the target's shareholders and the directors "are given enough *information* to enable them to assess the merits of the proposal" (paragraph 602(b)(iii)).

¹ For example, see Guidance Note 5 "Restraining dispatch of takeover documents" and the Panel's decisions in *Infratil* 02 [2000] ATP 2, *Brickworks* 02 [2000] ATP 8, *Vincorp* [2001] ATP 6, *Alpha HealthCare* [2001] ATP13, *BigShop* 03 [2001] ATP 22, *EPHS* [2002] ATP 12, *Normandy* 01 [2001] ATP 27, *Goodman Fielder* 01 [2003] ATP 1 and *Goodman Fielder* 02 [2003] ATP 5.

² In this Guidance Note, statutory references are to the Act, unless it is otherwise indicated.

- 16.6 The "information principle" also appears in the requirements for bidder's statements and target's statements (sections 636 and 638) and for their supplementation (sections 643 to 647).
- The fundamental position occupied by the "information principle" in takeover regulation is also recognised in the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (**ASIC**) policy requiring "Truth in Takeovers", which the Panel has broadly adopted in several decisions.⁴
- 16.8 Further, the Panel recognises that the investment decision involved in a takeover is of similar magnitude to investors to the initial decision to invest in securities. Thus, at a conceptual level, the quality of disclosure under Chapter 6 should be broadly comparable with that under Chapter 6D or Part 7.9 (even if the actual disclosures differ themselves because of the different contexts in which they are made). In some circumstances the specific disclosure required by Chapter 6 is identical to that required by Chapter 6D.⁵

Less is more

- 16.9 The Panel prefers that information be provided in fewer, more comprehensive (and comprehensible) documents delivered to target shareholders, rather than either to multiply the numbers of documents provided or to rely too heavily on the ability of the market and the press to distribute corrective information.⁶ The Panel also considers that it is preferable for a document or statement to be amended before it is distributed rather than to have a complex collage of similar, but differing, messages before shareholders.⁷ This concern is less where the supplementing information adds to, rather than corrects an inaccuracy in, the original document.
- 16.10 It is also the Panel's view that, consistent with shareholders having sufficient information, they also need sufficient time to consider a proposal (s602(b)(ii)). How much time is sufficient depends in part on the complexity of the information which shareholders are expected to read, evaluate and act on. There is thus a correlation between the efficiency of the communication

³ ASIC Policy Statement 25 "Takeovers: false and misleading statements".

⁴ For example, Taipan 6 [2000] ATP 15, Prudential Investment Company of Australia Limited [2003] ATP 36, BreakFree 04(R) [2003] ATP 42.

⁵ Paragraph 636(1)(g).

⁶ See, for example, the Panel decisions in Brickworks 02, BigShop 03, Pinnacle 9 [2001] ATP 25, Vincorp [2001] ATP 6, Alpha Healthcare and Mildura Cp-operative Fruit Company Ltd [2004] ATP 5 at [98]...

⁷ ASIC Class Order 00/344, ASIC Policy Statement 159 at [159.29] – [159.38], ASIC Policy Statement 25 at [25.63] and *Infratil* 02 and *BreakFree* 02 [2003] ATP 30.

of information (viewed from the perspective of the recipient) and the period needed to fulfil shareholders' need for sufficient time.⁸

Discouraging contravention

- 16.11 Where there is an informational shortcoming in the market, the Panel has a further concern that the person who caused that state of affairs may have obtained a commercial advantage which would not have existed had that person complied with the requirements of the Corporations Act and the policy set out in section 602.
- 16.12 In this regard, the Panel considers that to deny a party an advantage gained by its own improper conduct may require more than merely that the deficient information be corrected. Thus, for example:
 - acquisitions that occurred while the market and shareholders were inadequately informed may need to be reversed; and
 - other advantages⁹ arising while the minds of shareholders and market participants were inadequately informed may need to be rectified by requiring that the person provide additional time to enable shareholders to consider the corrected information.
- 16.13 In other words, the Panel considers that "getting the bid back on track" ¹⁰ may mean taking all parties back to the point where the bid went off the track.
- 16.14 The Panel also considers that it is usually inappropriate for parties to use corrective disclosures in effect to conceal that the Panel has found previous disclosures to be inadequate. For example, it is usually inappropriate to present only a "corrected" version of the information without pointing out the deficiencies found by the Panel. Unless errors are identified and acknowledged, the attempt to correct may not be sufficiently specific to be effective.

The Amicable Solution

16.15 Panel decisions sometimes refer to the preparedness of the parties to resolve information deficiencies cooperatively. In those decisions, the Panel regarded it as consistent with the policy of the Corporations Act that the parties agree the form and substance of additional information to be

⁸ The Panel will also consider whether the amount, nature and complexity of the information which has to be disclosed is such that the bid period should be extended to allow the target sufficient time to prepare its target's statement.

⁹ The Panel has held that there is no principle that prevents the Panel from making orders that strips from a party the benefit of commercial momentum obtained from unacceptable circumstances in *Pinnacle 11* [2001] ATP 23. Further, much of the discussion in [13] [16] is drawn from the decision in *Ranger Minerals* [2002] ATP 11.

¹⁰ Guidance Note 4 "Remedies and Enforcement" at [4.10].

¹¹ See for example, BigShop 03, Alpha Healthcare, SSH Medical [2003] ATP 32, BreakFree 03 [2003] ATP 38.

supplied, preferably in the form of replacement or supplementary bidder's statements or target's statements. The Panel is prepared to accept undertakings, agree to withdrawal from proceedings and make orders (which may in effect be consent orders), including interim orders, to facilitate the lawful carrying out of such commercial settlements and otherwise to facilitate the parties reaching and implementing such commercial arrangements, so long as to do so is consistent with speedy and fair resolution of the dispute.

16.16 Where the target is listed, section 647 requires only that a copy of a supplementary statement be given to the other party, ASIC and the relevant stock exchange. It does not require that copies be sent to shareholders. In the Panel's view, 12 where there are significant information defects the unacceptability caused by those defects may only be remedied where the correction is provided directly to the target shareholders (as the people most directly affected) by sending the supplementary statement to them. This will frequently be the case where the defect was in a document which was itself sent to shareholders, but may also arise in relation to any takeover-related document. The Panel does not assume that market mechanisms and the press can always be relied on to transmit all the relevant information to shareholders in a timely fashion.

The Possible Orders

- 16.17 The appendix to this Guidance Note is an example order (the **Order**) that assumes that the Panel has found unacceptable circumstances to exist in connection with a dispatched bidder's statement which has caused a contravention of the information principle.
- 16.18 There are several points to note. The example Order:
 - presupposes that the information defect occurred in the context of a takeover bid – in other cases, the Order will need to be modified;
 - deals with a serious deficiency in a bidder's statement again, if the
 actual deficiency is in another kind of document (for example, an ASX
 release, media release or a letter to shareholders), the Order will need to
 be amended;
 - assumes that acceptances have been received but not processed, so that offering a withdrawal right to offerees is sufficient protection in some severe cases, this may not be sufficient to remedy the mischief caused and the Panel may order the unwinding of takeover contracts;
 - assumes that on-market share transactions occurred while the market was affected by the deficient information and seeks to unwind those transactions and halt trading in the target's shares until the corrective information has been released and absorbed by the market - the Order

¹² As stated for example, in *Taipan 10* [2001] ATP 5 and *Ranger Minerals*. See also ASIC Policy Statement 25 at [25.66] and ASIC PS 159 at [159.63].

- also assumes that no interim order has been granted by the Panel suspending settlement through the CHESS system of transactions effected during the Panel's proceeding;
- in some cases, it may be desirable for the Panel to order that on-market transactions that occurred during a period of severe market misinformation be reversed or that trading in the affected securities be halted or suspended while an information defect is remedied. The Panel considers that this action will only be necessary in extreme cases where it is likely that mischief which cannot be dealt with in another way has or may occur because those transactions occurred in a market affected by serious informational deficiencies;
- the Panel will consider any prejudice that such an order may cause and whether it would be "unfair", 13 as required by subsection 657D(1). Where it is considering making such an order, the Panel will seek to cooperate with the relevant financial market to ensure that the correct remedy is applied with the least disruption to the market generally and to "innocent" transactions. In some cases, it may be possible to limit the order to particular transactions or classes of transactions affected by the unacceptability; 14
- seeks to remove an advantage that the bidder obtained and to reestablish the status of the bid and the state of the market immediately
 prior to the contravention of the information principle, both by
 advertising and by the provision to shareholders of both direct
 corrective and supplemented information and additional time for
 consideration of the bidder's proposal;
- is probably at the steeper end of the scale of orders that the Panel is likely to make in these circumstances and is considered by the Panel to be a collection of orders which may be used in appropriate cases, rather than a default or standard order. The precise incidents of the order to be made in a particular case will depend on the facts of that case: for instance, the facts may not require reversal of on-market transactions, or advertising of the correction or, if they do, may not require that it be as extensive as set out in the Order, although it will be usual to require advertising in at least one national and the most appropriate metropolitan newspapers. Similarly, undertakings offered by parties and accepted by the Panel may remove the need to make orders (for example, by the relevant parties seeking suspension of trading on its listing market).
- 16.19 The Panel emphasises that, although the Order focuses on a defective bidder's statement:

¹³ NCSC v Monarch Petroleum NL [1984] VR 733, 8 ACLR 785, 2 ACLC 256; ASC v Mt Burgess Gold Mining Co NL (1994) 62 FCR 389, 15 ACSR 714, 13 ACLC 271.

¹⁴ This was possible, for example, in *Pinnacle VRB Limited No 11* [2001] ATP 23 where the particular unacceptable circumstances only affected certain acceptances of an off-market bid, in which case the order only cancelled the contracts affected by those circumstances.

- all infractions of the information principle are potentially serious;
- statements by other parties (including rival bidders, the target, its substantial holders, influential financial advisers and commentators) can give rise to serious effects on a control transaction; and
- comparable orders may be attracted by contraventions of the information principle by others to restore the transaction to the position applying before the contravention.¹⁵
- 16.20 Even if contracts require certain things to be done by specific dates this would not, in suitable cases, prevent the Panel from making these kinds of orders. Of course, the Panel will consider whether innocent parties would be unfairly prejudiced by the making of an Order and, for example, may require the parties to contracts to suspend relevant deadlines to allow for the reestablishment of an informed competitive and efficient market in the relevant securities.

The Critic

- 16.21 Sometimes a person (the **Critic**) has an extensive list of identified information deficiencies in a takeover document. In this case, the Panel prefers the Critic to give that list promptly and directly to the person responsible for the relevant document rather than communicate indirectly through the press or apply to the Panel immediately. The Panel prefers to see commercial parties try to resolve these information difficulties speedily, directly and cooperatively. It recognises, however, that there is a balance which needs to be struck in this, as negotiations may reduce the time available for an application to be made and considered properly by the Panel before a critical date. ¹⁶
- In the frequent situation of a target identifying information issues in a bidder's statement, the Panel expects the bidder to accept reasonable criticisms of its document and to offer appropriate remedial action promptly (frequently this remedial action will be significantly less onerous than under the Order and would involve, for example, the preparation and lodgment under section 647 of a supplementary bidder's statement). If the bidder's statement has not yet been dispatched to shareholders, the Panel considers that it is usually sufficient if section 647 is complied with and a replacement bidder's statement (corrected in accordance with the supplementary bidder's statement) is sent to shareholders. In this case, the Panel considers that it is often appropriate for the target to consent under ASIC Class Order 00/344 (or any equivalent successor) to the use of the replacement bidder's

¹⁵ This may include anything needed to protect the rights of innocent parties or the integrity of the market or to reverse any unfair advantage obtained - for example, all acquisitions occurring during the period of misinformation, or any acquisitions (on- or off-market) by a rival bidder, or share buybacks or issues (or exercises of options or other rights attached to shares) which may have been influenced by the deficient state of information, being unwound.

¹⁶ As discussed in Guidance Note 5 "Restraining dispatch of documents" [5.3].

statement without alteration to the bid timetable.¹⁷ This may be the case even where the target has unresolved issues with the bidder's statement, as supplemented.

- 16.23 In relation to the potential criticisms that the target might make, the Panel observes that Chapter 6's regulatory scheme involves two principal information documents - the target's statement and the bidder's statement. The level of acceptances of takeovers is generally very low before the target's statement is issued as shareholders await clarity on the commercial outcome of the bid (especially in terms of possible higher bids being made), the satisfaction or waiver of conditions (if any) and the completion of the informational matrix before reacting to a bidder's proposal. Accordingly, targets or other Critics should bear in mind who is in the best position to provide relevant information. In particular, if the best information on a particular issue is likely to be in the hands of the target, it is unreasonable for the target to require the bidder to attempt to provide that information from sub-standard or unreliable sources. In this situation, the Critic must either provide the information itself (for example, in the target's statement) or provide the person responsible for the document with access to the relevant information or otherwise make the information generally available (for example, by providing it to the ASX under Listing Rule 3.1). Which of these will be most appropriate will depend on the particular circumstances of each case; for example, if the Critic is the target and it has prepared and distributed an information memorandum which has led to a further bid being announced, it may be appropriate for the Critic to provide that information memorandum to the first bidder so that the information is provided by that bidder in its bidder's statement. As a general rule, however, it is usually not reasonable for a Critic to seek to require a person responsible for a document to speculate on the basis of incomplete information – this would require the provision of material that could seriously undermine the efficiency of the market.¹⁸
- Also, if a bidder has responded positively and helpfully to target criticisms in relation to information within the bidder's knowledge and done what it can (without the target's help) to re-establish a properly informed market while the target has not cooperated in the establishment and dispatch of documents with agreed amendments, the Panel will fashion its order accordingly to require any unacceptability to be rectified by the persons in the best position to do so.. For instance, the Panel might form the view that any unacceptable circumstances existing in this situation may require conduct by the target as well as, or instead of, the bidder.

¹⁷ Where the extent of the changes made is so great that the target may require additional time to prepare its target's statement, the Panel would prefer that the parties agree to allow a consolidated bidder's statement to be dispatched (eg. by the target consenting to the use of a replacement on condition that the bidder extend the bid period by the time required to consider and respond to the further material contained in the bidder's statement).

¹⁸ The requirement is for the provision of information not speculation – see for example, *Email 03* [2000] ATP 5, *Taipan 11* [2001] ATP 16 and *Vincorp*.

16.25 Further, the Panel considers that parties will not prejudice their position in any related Panel proceeding by negotiating and resolving information deficiencies (or other disputes) with another party either before or during the course of a proceeding.

Confidentiality And Media Canvassing

- 16.26 The Panel has procedural rules requiring parties to respect the confidentiality of confidential information provided to them by the other parties.¹⁹ This is necessary for the prompt and efficient resolution of disputes. Parties asserting informational deficiencies should be aware that the Panel will only in the most exceptional circumstances allow a party to withhold information from all parties to Panel proceedings which may be relevant to determining whether unacceptable circumstances exist and, if so, the means by which they may best be rectified, on the ground that to do so may involve the disclosure of information confidential to that party.
- 16.27 The Panel also regards the use of the media as a means of publicising submissions or arguments before the Panel as unlikely to assist in the speedy resolution of disputes and as potentially itself constituting unacceptable circumstances. ²⁰ Accordingly, the Panel may regard it as inappropriate for a Critic to provide significant detail on its criticisms to the press even before the Critic has commenced proceedings. If it does so, the Panel may consider that this suggests that the proceedings have been commenced for tactical reasons rather than from a genuine concern with the accuracy of information. This may disincline the Panel to order the most stringent available remedies.

Publication History

First Issue 14 May 2004

¹⁹ Confidentiality is the subject of the Panel's Procedural Rule 8 and especially rule 8.5. This rule protects, without any time limit, the confidentiality of information disclosed to a person by the Panel or another party in or in connection with a Panel proceeding. Media canvassing is dealt with in Procedural Rule 12 and restricts, without considering issues of confidentiality, the publication or the causing of media reports during and after Panel proceedings. It imposes a more rigorous media silence rule during the course of proceedings and provides guidance as to appropriate media comment once proceedings have been completed. These two Procedural Rules may overlap to some extent, but are conceptually distinct and seek to protect different interests.

²⁰ The Panel does not discourage the publication of additional or supplementary information by a party.



EXAMPLE ORDER

The Panel orders that:

Supplementary Statement

- (1) (Party) prepare a supplementary (bidder's) statement which contains a separate and prominent section that:
 - (a) states clearly that the section and the statement is prepared and circulated [and the offer period has been extended] as a result of findings of unacceptable circumstances made by the Takeovers Panel requiring the correction of statements made by (Party);
 - (b) identifies the statements found by the Panel to be deficient;
 - (c) states clearly and prominently the deficiencies found by the Panel in those statements; and
 - (d) sets out clearly the accurate information, based on the findings of the Panel,
 - (e) and which also contains a section setting out the material required by section 650D in order to comply with orders (2) and (9).
- (2) (Party) extend the offer period so that it ends not less than 14 days after (Party) complies with order (4).
- (3) (Party) give the Panel a printer's proof of the supplementary statement, showing all art work and design features as well as the relevant text, not less than two business days before it is sent to (target) under section 647 and may not send the supplementary statement in purported compliance with that section until the Panel has informed (Party) that the form of the statement is considered by the Panel to be appropriate and to comply with this order.
- (4) Not less than three days after it complies with section 647, (Party) send the statement to everyone to whom offers were made under the bid in the same manner as those offers were sent.

Corrective Advertising

- (5) (Party) publish an advertisement (the **Advertisement**) in the following newspapers on [a business day/[two] successive business days] in accordance with this order:
 - (a) The Australian

- (b) The Australian Financial Review
- (c) The Sydney Morning Herald (Sydney)
- (d) The Daily Telegraph (Sydney)
- (e) The Age (Melbourne)
- (f) The Herald-Sun (Melbourne)
- (g) The Advertiser (Adelaide)
- (h) The West Australian (Perth)
- (i) The Courier Mail (Brisbane)
- (j) The Mercury (Hobart)
- (k) Other relevant regional newspaper (where relevant)

The Advertisement must:

- (a) not be smaller than one tabloid page;
- (b) state clearly and prominently that the Advertisement is published because the Takeovers Panel has found that unacceptable circumstances exist requiring (Party) to correct statements made it;
- (c) identify the statements found by the Panel to be deficient;
- (d) set out clearly and prominently the deficiencies found by the Panel;
- (e) set out clearly the accurate information, based on the Panel's findings; and
- (f) state that, in accordance with the Panel's requirements, everyone to whom offers were made under the bid will be sent a supplementary (bidder's) statement and that the offer period under the bid will be extended so that it ends not less than 14 days after the supplementary (bidder's) statement is sent to offerees.
- (6) (Party) give to the Panel a printer's proof of the proposed Advertisement, showing all art work and design features as well as the relevant text, not less than two business days before the last time at which changes to the Advertisement may be notified to the publisher. (Party) must not issue an advertisement in purported compliance with order (5) until the Panel has informed it that the form of the Advertisement is considered by the Panel to be appropriate and to comply with this order.

Unwinding Share Dealings

(7) Each transaction in (target) securities which has been effected on or reported to the financial market conducted by Australian Stock Exchange Limited after [time] on [date] be cancelled.

Suspension of Trading

(8) Trading in securities of (target) on the financial market conducted by Australian Stock Exchange Limited be suspended until the end of the business day after the publication of the Advertisement.

Withdrawal Right

(9) (Party) give each person who accepted an offer made under the (party)'s bid and whose acceptance was received by (Party) after [date] and before the day three business days after the first publication of the Advertisement, the right to withdraw their acceptance. Paragraph 650D(1)(a)(ii) and sub-sections 650E (2) to (6) (inclusive) apply to this right as if references to the notice of variation were to the supplementary statement prepared under order (1).